

Groundwater Utilization and Sustainability: Beyond Hydrogeology

By Victor M. Ponce¹

ABSTRACT: The indiscriminate and sometimes excessive use of ground water has led to questions regarding its sustainability. To what extent can groundwater be exploited without unduly compromising the principle of sustainable development? The sustainability of groundwater utilization must be assessed from an interdisciplinary perspective, where hydrology, ecology, geomorphology, and climatology play an important role. Shallow groundwater flow systems should be distinguished from deep groundwater flow systems; the former interact with surface water, while the latter do not. Generally, groundwater does not recycle as fast as the surface water, with rates of groundwater tur nover varying from years to millennia, depending on aquifer location, type, depth, properties, and connectivity. Excessive pumping can lead to groundwater depletion, where groundwater is extracted at a rate faster that it can be replenished. Unregulated groundwater use leads to the "Tragedy of the Commons," with the eventual depletion of the resource and ruin to all. The effects of excessive groundwater development tend to become apparent gradually, with time often measured in decades. To assure sustainability, studies must show that the hydrological, ecological, and other impacts of groundwater utilization are minimal. In addition to water quantity, sustainability must imply the preservation of water quality.

(KEY TERMS: groundwater hydrology, sustainability, surface water/ground water interactions, recharge.)

Introduction

Ground water is the portion of the Earth's water cycle that flows underground. Groundwater originates from precipitation that percolates into the ground. Percolation is the flow of water through soil and porous/fractured rock. The water table separates the saturated, or aquifer zone, from the unsaturated, or vadose zone, where the water does not fill all the voids or spaces in the soil or rock. The general trend is for water in the unsaturated zone to move downward until it reaches the water table. On the other hand, water in the saturated zone moves primarily along horizontal hydraulic gradients, from higher to lower elevations. The ocean is the natural sink for groundwater flows.

Water is among the most precious of natural resources. In many regions of the world, the pressures of economic development are producing a surface-water scarcity. Yet in most places,



ively short distance below the ground surface. The / of ground water has led to its indiscriminate and se can have diverse and often wide ranging effects on logy. These interdisciplinary aspects of groundwater

utilization have brought into question the concept of safe yield, defined as the maintenance of a long-term balance between the amount of withdrawal and the amount of recharge (Sophocleous, 2000). Thus, the issue of groundwater sustainability has arisen (Alley and Leake, 2004). To what extent can a region's groundwater resources be exploited without unduly compromising the principle of sustainable development? Sustainable development is that which meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (The World Commission on Environment and Development, 1987).

In seeking answers to this question, we must look not only to the discipline of groundwater geology, but also to the synthesis of several related earth sciences. The sustainability of groundwater utilization must be assessed from an interdisciplinary perspective, where hydrology, ecology, geomorphology, and climatology play an important role. This paper contributes to establish a solid scientific basis for this synthesis.

Origin of Ground Water

Precipitation is the source of all ground water. Precipitation that does not form part of surface runoff or remain on the land surface percolates into the ground. Once there, it can follow three distinct paths: (1) remain in the unsaturated (vadose) zone subject to capillary action; (2) return to the atmosphere via evaporation and evapotranspiration; or (3) flow downward until it reaches the water table, at which time it joins the ground water proper. Throughout millennia, ground water has accumulated underground, seeping slowly through soil and rock, usually in the direction of the closest ocean. [A smaller portion of ground water accumulates in closed, endorheic drainage basins lying in the interior of continents].

In terms of its flow path and fate, groundwater flow is divided into: (1) shallow groundwater flow, and (2) deep groundwater flow. Shallow groundwater flow, or groundwater *runoff*, intercepts the land surface, feeding springs and seeping back to the surface waters as the perennial flow (or baseflow) of streams/rivers and other freshwater bodies, such as swamps and lakes. Conversely, deep groundwater flow, or groundwater *runout*, does not intercept the land surface, flowing instead directly into the ocean.

Shallow percolation is the source of shallow groundwater flow. On a global basis, the annual amount of shallow percolation is equal to the annual amount of baseflow that discharges into streams and rivers (World Water Balance, 1978). Since baseflow constitutes 30% of streamflow, and streamflow is about 40% of precipitation, it follows that baseflow or shallow percolation constitute $(0.30 \times 0.40) \times 100 = 12\%$ of precipitation (Fig. 1).

Deep percolation is the fraction of percolation that reaches the deep ground water. In terms of volume, deep percolation is about 1/20 of streamflow (L'vovich, 1979). Therefore, on an annual global basis, deep percolation constitutes $(0.05 \times 0.40) \times 100 = 2\%$ of precipitation. This value



Fig. 1 Global annual components of precipitation.

The amount of deep percolation is of considerable practical interest from the standpoint of sustainability. By definition, deep percolation does not belong to the surface waters and, therefore, it cannot affect their quantity. On the other hand, shallow percolation is the source of the seepage to surface waters. Any amount of water extracted from shallow ground water through well pumping effectively shortcuts the natural process, returning to the land surface amounts of ground water that were going to eventually return to the surface waters anyway. [An exception to the preceding statement is pumping near the sea coast, where shallow ground water may join the ocean directly. However, excessive pumping of shallow ground water near the sea coast may lead to salt-water intrusion].

Quantity and Quality

Of all of the Earth's water, only 3% is freshwater; the rest is saline (ocean) water. Of all the freshwater on Earth, 68.7% is permanently stored in icecaps and glaciers, 30.1% is ground water, 0.3% is surface water, and 0.9% is other minor storage (Fig. 2). Thus, ground water is about one-hundred times more plentiful than surface water. However, while surface water is typically low in salt ions, ground water, particularly that lying at great depths, may contain high concentrations of salt ions, effectively limiting its economic use. Saline ground waters have concentrations in excess of 1,000 parts per million (ppm). In the United States, the depth to saline ground waters varies from less than 150 m to more than 300 m (Alley, 2003).



Source: U.S. Geological Survey.

Fig. 2 The distribution of Earth's water.

For comparison purposes, the salinity of freshwaters is less than 500 ppm, while the salinity of ocean waters is about 35,000 ppm. Slightly saline water, for example, irrigation water, has concentrations from 500 to 1,500 ppm, while moderately saline water, such as drainage water and ground water, ranges from 1,500 to 5,000 ppm. Highly saline ground waters may have salinity concentrations in excess of 5,000 ppm.

Age of Ground Water

Age is a major difference between surface water and ground water. Surface waters are typically fresh, distinctly new. On a global basis, surface water recycles every 9 to 16 days with an average of 11 days (World Water Balance, 1978; L'vovich, 1979). Evaporation, evapotranspiration and surface runoff are the agents responsible for the relatively fast recycling of surface water.

Unlike surface water, ground water does not recycle readily. Rates of groundwater turnover vary from days to years, and from centuries to millennia, depending on aquifer location, type, depth, properties, and connectivity (Fig. 3). The average time for the renewal of ground water is 1,400 yr (World Water Balance, 1978). Shorter renewal times tend to be associated with shallow ground water, while longer renewal times are associated with deep ground water. Significantly, renewal rates of deep ground water are about 1/15 of those of shallow ground water (Jones,



may have ages exceeding 30,000 yr. Carbon dating ge of fossil ground waters. These ground waters are ire not likely to recharge any time soon.



Source: U.S. Geological Survey.



Recharge and Discharge

Groundwater levels vary seasonally and annually. They are high at the end of the wet season and low at the end of the dry season; likewise, they are high at the end of a wet year and low at the end of one or more dry years (a drought period). Nevertheless, on a long-term basis (decades or centuries), naturally occurring groundwater levels tend to be steady, i.e., they undergo very little change.

Ground water is naturally recharged upstream and discharged downstream. Recharge areas are close to mountain peaks, where precipitation is likely to be higher than in the adjacent lowlands. Shallow groundwater discharges in the valleys and other low-lying zones of the Earth's crust. Deep groundwater discharges directly into the ocean.

Through human intervention, ground water is subject to artificial discharge, that is, the process of pumping ground water from an aquifer to satisfy a socioeconomic need. In some instances, ground water may also be subject to artificial recharge. Some progressive communities are presently accomplishing the artificial recharge of their aquifers in order to conserve the groundwater resource.

Excessive pumping can lead to groundwater depletion, wherein ground water is extracted from an aquifer at a rate faster than it can be replenished. Depletion can have significant effects on surface and unsaturated subsurface (vadose) waters, and on the terrestrial, riparian, and other ecosystems which depend on these waters.



properties: (1) hydraulic conductivity, and (2) specific -t which water flows through porous media (soil and

porous or fractured rock), expressed in velocity units (meters per second). Specific yield is the free-draining pore-water volume, expressed per unit of total volume. An aquifer with large values of either hydraulic conductivity or specific yield, or both, can release large quantities of water to wells or springs.

The hydraulic conductivity of an aquifer may change with position (heterogeneity) and direction (anisotropy), but generally it does not change with time. The hydraulic gradient, however, can change in time, depending on the season, but more importantly, on the rate of pumping and extent of aquifer depletion.

Aquifers

Depending on their position relative to the ground surface and other permeable or impermeable layers (aquitards), aquifers are classified into: (1) unconfined, and (2) confined. Unconfined aquifers lie near the surface and, for the most part, constitute shallow ground water. Confined aquifers lie at greater depths, below a confining layer and often under pressure. Confined aquifers may constitute either shallow or deep ground water, depending on the characteristics of the regional groundwater flow. In confined aquifers, the specific yield is replaced by the storativity, to reflect the fact that aquifer releases are caused by changes in fluid pressure, and not by actual dewatering of the pore spaces.

Depending on their geologic age, aquifers are classified into: (1) quaternary, and (2) tertiary. The quaternary aquifers lie within alluvial, colluvial, glacial, or lacustrine deposits. They consist primarily of unconsolidated materials such as sand and gravel, but may also have some silt and clay. These aquifers are usually superficial; they flow through soils deposited in the valleys and other depressions of the Earth's surface throughout the Quaternary Period (1.6 to 1.8 million years BP). They are either unconfined or confined, and contain, for the most part, shallow ground water. The hydraulic conductivity and specific yield of quaternary aquifers are usually large compared to those of other aquifers.

Tertiary aquifers can lie near the surface or at greater dept hs, and can be confined or unconfined. They are composed of either sedimentary or fractured rock; or, in some cases, of unconsolidated deposits lying beneath rock. Depending on their depth and connectivity, tertiary aquifers may contain either shallow or deep ground water, or both.

The hydraulic conductivity of sedimentary aquifers is a function of the rock's porosity. For fractured rock aquifers, the hydraulic properties depend on the size, extent, thickness, density, orientation, and connectivity of the fractures. As the better known sedimentary aquifers reach their full utilization potential, fractured rock aquifers are being increasingly tapped. In some regions, fractured rock aquifers constitute a major portion of the groundwater resources. In the Australian continent, for instance, these aquifers underlie 40% of the land surface.



ed rock aquifers are difficult to quantify, with yields ont on the distribution of major fractures. For instance, fer in the Clare Valley, South Australia, revealed a orders of magnitude, from 10^2 m day⁻¹ in some aquifer

pump tests to 10⁻⁸ m day⁻¹ in tests on the rock cores (Love et al., 2001).

Flow velocities and contaminant transport rates in fractures or solution cavities may be high, resulting in early contaminant appearance at target wells or streams and in poor filtration/elimination of pathogens. Knowledge of fracture-flow dynamics and fracture orientation and location is essential, because fractures may cause markedly anisotropic behavior, especially with regard to contaminant transport (National Research Council, 2000). In principle, the flow direction in fractured rock aquifers can differ from the direction of the maximum hydraulic gradient by up to 90° (Love et al., 2001). Thus, preferred flow paths in fractured rock aquifers may cross surficial watershed boundaries, defying conventional hydrological characterization.

Groundwater Systems

A groundwater-flow system may consist of one or more aquifers of different types. The areal extent of groundwater-flow systems varies from a few square miles or less to tens of thousands of square miles. The length of groundwater-flow paths ranges from a few feet to tens, and sometimes hundreds, of miles. A deep groundwater-flow system with long flow paths between areas of recharge and discharge may be overlain by, and in hydraulic connection with, several shallow, more local, flow systems. Thus, the definition of a groundwater -flow system is to some extent subjective and depends in part on the scale of the study (Alley et al., 1999).

Wells

Wells can be of three types: (1) water table well, (2) artesian well, and (3) flowing artesian well. In a water table well, the water table is at atmospheric pressure. In an artesian well, the water pressure is greater than atmospheric. In a flowing artesian well, the water pressure is such that it can flow freely above the ground surface.

Pumping from a water table well lowers the groundwater levels near the well. The affected zone is known as the cone of depression, and the land surface above it, its area of influence. Well pumping changes the natural direction and amount of groundwater flow within the area of influence. If two cones of depression overlap, the interference reduces the water available to each well. Well interference can be a problem when many wells are competing for the water of the same aquifer, particularly at the same depth.

In cases where the area of influence of a well extends to a nearby stream or lake, there is a reversal in the flow direction and the water body begins to lose water to the well by induced recharge. Streams, wetlands, and lakes can dry up completely under sustained conditions of induced recharge.



of arid regions is its relation or lack of relation to the ytes, adapted to extreme economy of water, which

depend on the infrequent rain for their livelihood and which, during prolonged periods of drought, maintain themselves in a nearly dormant condition. On the other hand are the phreatophytes, or well plants, which habitually grow where they can send their roo ts down to the water table, or to the capillary fringe immediately overlying the water table; thus, they are able to obtain a perennial and secure supply of water.

Depletion of ground water places at risk the survival of the well plants. In turn, the loss of vegetation can produce a domino effect on the rest of the landscape, with increased erosion, increase in surface albedo, decreased ambient moisture, and climate change.

Groundwater Utilization

Utilization of an aquifer implies the removal of sizable volumes of ground water, and this changes the aquifer's natural recharge and discharge patterns. Net recharge is defined as the difference between recharge and discharge. Under pristine, or predevelopment, conditions, recharge equals discharge, and net recharge is zero (Fig. 4 A).

Under conditions of groundwater development, pumping amounts to artificial discharge. At a given site, the depletion of the aquifer and its cone of depression may effectively reduce the natural discharge to a value close to zero. In this case, net recharge is the amount of increased recharge minus the pumpage, minus the decreased discharge, if the latter is still positive (Fig. 4 B). If net recharge is zero, the water table is at steady state; if net recharge is negative, as is usually the case under conditions of aquifer depletion; the water table continues to drop gradually over time.





In determining the effects of pumping, it is recognized that not all the water pumped is necessarily consumed. For example, not all the water pumped for irrigation is consumed by evapotranspiration. Some of the water returns to the ground-water system as irrigation-return flow. Most other uses of ground water are similar in that some of the water pumped is not consumed but is returned to the system. Thus, it is important to differentiate between the amount of water pumped and the amount of water actually consumed.

The following three scenarios are possible: (1) pristine, or predevelopment conditions, where the water table remains unchanged in time in the long run; (2) development conditions with moderate rates of withdrawal, where the water table achieves a hydrological equilibrium at a state somewhat lower that the original state; and (3) development conditions under intensive withdrawal, where the water table continues to fall due to groundwater pumping at unsustainable rates.

Under the first scenario, with pristine conditions, the system is in a state of long-term equilibrium, which supports the local surface water bodies (streams, rivers, swamps, and lakes) and ecosystems (aquatic, riparian, transitional, and terrestrial). Under the second scenario, with moderate withdrawal rates, local surface waters and ecosystems are moderately affected. Under the third scenario, with intensive withdrawals, many local surface waters are substantially reduced; neighboring ecosystems are degraded and, in extreme cases, severely compromised.

The hydraulic gradient, which is subject to human intervention, controls the flow of ground water. At a given site, recharge is proportional to the horizontal hydraulic gradient. Thus, locally, aquifer depletion has the tendency to increase induced recharge, which, if substantial, can draw



physical and hydrogeological studies are required to nditions of aquifer connectivity, sustained pumping ending on the terrain's relief (flat or hilly) and the

Groundwater Depletion

Groundwater depletion has been documented in many instances. A classic case is that of Borrego Valley, in Southern California's high desert, near Borrego Springs, in San Diego County. Water levels in Borrego Valley have declined 2 ft yr⁻¹ (0.6 m yr⁻¹) over the past 20 years. The extracted ground water is used for intensive agriculture as well as recreation.

Another significant example of groundwater depletion is the Ojos Negros valley, in Baja California, Mexico, about 40 km east of Ensenada. In the past 35 years, the water table in the valley has dropped up to 45 m. The extracted ground water is primarily used to support intensive agriculture. The experience of the Ojos Negros valley is particularly sobering, because the valley derives its name (Spanish for "Black Eyes") from two oval-shaped swamps, or "cienagas" (incorrectly spelled "sienega" in Fig. 5), that existed prior to the development of ground water, and have since dried out and disappeared in the face of protracted aquifer depletion. Other wetlands near the valley's mouth have been reduced to a fraction of their original size (Ojos Negros Research Group, 2003).



Source: Zarate Archives, Ensenada, Mexico.

Fig. 5 Extract of a map showing the two oval-shaped swamps that gave their name to the Ojos Negros valley, Baja California, Mexico (dated 1864).

In South-central Arizona, groundwater pumping to support population growth, including the Tucson and Phoenix areas, has resulted in water-table drops of between 300 and 500 ft (90 and 150 m), land subsidence of as much as 12.5 ft (3.8 m), and significant losses of riparian ecosystems. Figure 6 shows two views of the same reach of the Santa Cruz river at Martinez Hill, south of Tucson. The photo to the left, dated 1942, shows well established stands of mesquite and cottonwood trees along the river banks, indicating that sufficient moisture to support vegetation existed in the soil at that time. The photo to the right, taken in 1989, shows that the riparian trees have disappeared. Data from two nearby wells indicates that the water table had declined more than 100 ft (30 m) due to pumping, and this appears to be the principal reason for the decrease in vegetation. The roots of mesquite on the flood plain of the Santa Cruz River have been known to reach depths of 50 to 60 ft (15 to 18 m) (Meinzer, 1927).



Source: U.S. Geological Survey.

Fig. 6 Two snapshots, left (1942) and right (1989), of the the same reach of the Santa Cruz river, south of Tucson, Arizona.

Depletion is not limited to semiarid and arid regions. Intensive pumping can lead to groundwater depletion in some subhumid regions as well. Figure 7 shows the historical record of water levels in a USGS well in Cook County, Georgia. The last 35 years have seen a drop of nearly 15 ft (5 m).



Source: U.S. Geological Survey.

Fig. 7 Documented case of groundwater depletion in Cook County, Georgia.



ence of a commons (a natural resource used jointly by

other natural resource held in common, an aquifer tends to be viewed by individuals pursuing their own self-interests as a resource to be exploited before others are able to get to it.

The theoretical framework of the "Tragedy of the Commons" is due to Hardin (1968). In a nutshell, it states that freedom in the commons eventually brings ruin to all, since every person is compelled to increase his/her individual benefit without limit in a world that is limited. Given the inherent logic of the commons, aquifer regulation appears to be the only way to avoid a reenactment of the tragedy.

Impacts of Groundwater Depletion

The impacts of groundwater depletion are many and varied. The first and most direct impact is the loss of baseflow. Shallow ground waters flow nearly horizontally, in the direction of the closest depression in the Earth's crust, usually towards a stream or river draining a valley. Any shallow ground water extracted through pumping is water that does not reach the surface waters in the vicinity.

The impact depends on the intensity of pumpage and the associated loss of baseflow. If baseflow disappears and this can be traced to groundwater pumping, the effects could be far reaching. Thus, in general, it is necessary to consider the conjunctive use of surface and ground waters, instead of treating these two resources as separate entities, subject to different management policies (National Research Council, 2000).

The interaction between surface and ground water varies from arid to humid climates. Perennial, or gaining streams, typical of subhumid and humid regions, augment their flow through baseflow. Conversely, ephemeral, or losing streams, typical of arid and semiarid regions, decrease their flow through transmission losses, which are the exact opposite of baseflow (Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2005). Therefore, when a gaining stream is transformed into a losing stream through aquifer depletion, a fraction of surface runoff is converted to shallow ground water, increasing recharge. Effectively, the hydraulic gradient has been reversed; where originally it flowed into the stream, now it flows out of the stream.

The loss of baseflow can trigger a chain reaction of negative impacts to various components of the landscape. Direct, or primary, impacts to the landscape can be expressed in terms of:

- increased magnitude and frequency of floods,
- loss of wetland and riparian vegetation,
- loss of phreatophytes and aquatic-terrestrial transition zones,
- changes in channel morphology,
- accelerated erosion and gully development,
- increased severity and frequency of droughts, and
- loss of wildlife habitat and reduction in biodiversity.



ed. tion include:

infrastructure,

- land subsidence (Galloway et al., 2001),
- salt-water intrusion, and
- changes in surface albedo and related climate change (Ponce et al., 1997).

The impacts of groundwater depletion are pervasive and, in most cases significant enough to justify a detailed evaluation. A sensible strategy is to develop baseline data on selected ecosphere components, prior to the proposed groundwater development. These components include:

- surface water,
- baseflow,
- channel morphology,
- aquatic ecosystems,
- wetland and riparian ecosystems,
- phreatophytes and other aquatic-terrestrial transitional ecosystems,
- terrestrial ecosystems,
- wildlife resources, including corridors and flyways, and
- climatology and climate change.

In the absence of baseline data, it is difficult to ascertain a cause-effect linkage between groundwater development and its environmental consequences. The effects of groundwater development tend to become apparent gradually, with time often measured in decades, such as in the case of climate change (Alley and Leake, 2004). Other impacts, such as the loss of baseflow, can become apparent within a shorter timeframe, typically in a few years.

In many instances, it is hard to trace the observed effects of groundwater depletion to actions of the past. Thus, it is imperative that the impacts of development be carefully assessed and painstakingly monitored. Beginning at the early stages of groundwater development, cause-effect linkages should be established and contingency/mitigation plans drawn up.

Sustainable Yield

Given that shallow ground waters are intrinsically connected to the surface waters, it follows that they should not be exploited in an unsustainable manner. It is noted that aquifers may have accumulated their volumes through centuries or millennia. Therefore, a groundwater use policy based on a substantial reduction of aquifer volume would be unsustainable, particularly if the rate of replenishment is slow.

A sustainable policy for the exploitation of shallow aquifers should be based not on the existing volume, but on the basin's recharge capacity. For this purpose, a mass balance can be used to evaluate the components of the water cycle, on an annual basis. A groundwater basin's mass balance is (Fig. 8):



evapotranspiration (from vegetative ecosystems), Q = surface runoff (direct, or flood runoff), G = groundwater runoff (to baseflow), and D = deep percolation (runout to ocean).



Fig. 8 The basin's mass balance.

A sustainable groundwater management plan should begin by tapping deep percolation (D in Eq. 1 and Fig. 8), which would otherwise be lost to the oceans. In principle, shallow percolation (G in Eq. 1 and Fig. 8) belongs to the surface waters and, therefore, should be considered in transit through the aquifer. In practice, suitable fractions of shallow percolation may be tapped only after detailed studies show that the hydrological, ecological, geomorphological, climatological and other impacts are minimal (Sophocleous, 2000).

Hydrological and hydrogeological studies are required to accurately estimate percolation amounts on the local and regional scales (National Research Council, 2000). In the absence of these studies, an initial or reference estimate of deep percolation may be based on global hydrology. On an annual basis, deep percolation is approximately 1/6 of shallow percolation, or baseflow (see Fig. 1). Thus, deep percolation amounts to 2% of precipitation (L'vovich, 1979).

Using this value as an initial estimate, until detailed basin-specific studies are available, the sustainable yield of a shallow aquifer can be calculated as:

$$Y = 0.02 \text{ A P}$$
 (Eq. 2)

in which Y = sustainable yield, in units of volume per year, A = recharge surface area, to be taken as the aquifer's geographical area, and P = annual precipitation.



eters per year, the formula for Y, in cubic meters per

For A in square miles and P in inches per year, the formula for Y, in acre-feet per year, is:

$$Y = 1.07 A P$$
 (Eq. 4)

To properly account for annual precipitation variability, the recommended value of P is the average of the past N years of record, in which N is the typical recurrence interval of drought events in the given geographical location. For most regions of practical interest, N varies between 3 and 25 years, being 3-6 years for arid regions, 6-12 years for semiarid regions, and 12-25 years for subhumid regions (Ponce et al., 2000).

Groundwater usage beyond the estimate of deep percolation, to include fractions of shallow percolation, would have to show conclusively that it does not adversely affect surface waters and local/regional ecosystems. It would also have to show that it does not cause a significant drop in the water table, which would set the aquifer flow system on an unsustainable course, and that it does not cause wetlands reduction, stream degradation, ground subsidence, climate change, or other impacts.

Sustainability and Water Quality

Sustainability in water quantity must imply sustainability in water quality. The human-induced loss of groundwater quality is a pervasive problem in both developed and developing nations. In the United States, extensive areas have ground water within shallow surficial materials, which may consist of unconsolidated deposits and/or weathered, porous, or fractured rock. These materials can reach depths of a few hundred meters and often lie above deeper bedrock aquifers. Ground water in these surficial materials is particularly vulnerable to contamination as a result of actions or omissions of individuals and corporations. These actions or omissions include inappropriate land use, overuse, negligence, attrition or obsolence, and accidents. The contamination can affect agricultural areas as well as other rural areas; in some instances, even urban areas are affected.

In a comprehensive U.S. Geological Survey study, concentrations of nitrogen in nearly half of the streams sampled in agricultural areas ranked among the highest of all streams measured. Moreover, the highest rates of detection for the most heavily used herbicides (atrazine, metolachlor, alachlor, and cyanazine) were found in streams and shallow ground water in agricultural areas (Fuhrer et al., 1999).

In rural areas, inappropriate land use and other human activities can lead to aquifer contamination. The latter is particularly critical in those areas that are heavily dependent on ground water. For areas where ground water may have been designated as the sole or principal source of drinking water, the implementation of wellhead protection is a necessity. Wellhead



designed to protect public drinking water supplies by nere activities might affect the quality of the water. In can be traced to onsite septic systems and lawn opment in predominantly rural areas must strive for

sustainability by reconciling the often conflicting practices of providing drinking water from wells and disposing of wastewater to neighboring septic systems.

Great efforts have been expended on predicting the movement of water and contaminants underground. Yet it is still difficult to state with confidence if a certain land use will or will not have a specific impact on a particular water supply. Much of the uncertainty in pinpointing sources stems from aquifer complexity, including heterogeneity and anisotropy. Surficial fractured rock aquifers often defy characterization; also, contaminant transport along the fractures is dominated by advection, with little diffusion of pollutant concentration. Thus, bulk aquifer properties are rarely, if ever, adequate to determine flow paths and travel times necessary for contaminant transport studies and wellhead protection analyses (National Research Council, 2000).

Summary

The unsustainable use of ground water stands to significantly impact a host of hydrological, ecological and other natural resources and services, including freshwater bodies, and aquatic, riparian, transitional, and terrestrial ecosystems. Baseflow will decrease and wetlands will disappear, streams and rivers will degrade, channel erosion will increase, and wildlife habitat will be reduced. Other impacts include drying up of wells, salt-water intrusion in coastal areas, and land subsidence.

The sustainable use of ground water should begin by tapping primarily deep percolation, and secondarily shallow percolation. The latter should be exploited only if its effects on the baseflow of neighboring streams and water bodies are shown to be minimal. Detailed hydrological and hydrogeological studies are required to determine the percolation amounts. In the absence of basin-specific studies, global values of deep percolation may be used to establish an initial, reference estimate of sustainable yield.

Baseline and time-dependent hydrological and hydroecological studies are necessary to assess and monitor the effect of groundwater use on local freshwater bodies and ecosystems. To guarantee sustainability, these studies should accompany planned groundwater development. Every effort should be taken to ensure that both groundwater quantity and quality are preserved for the benefit of present and future generations.

Acknowledgments

This study was made possible with the support of the people of the communities of Boulevard and Campo, in eastern San Diego County, California.



http://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/circ1186

Alley, W. M. (2003). Desalination of Ground Water: Earth Science Perspective. Fact Sheet 075 - 03, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado. *http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/fs075-03*

Alley, W. M., and S. A. Leake. 2004. The journey from safe yield to sustainability. Ground Water, Vol. 42, No.1, January-February, 12-16.

Freeze, R. A., and J. A. Cherry. 1979. Groundwater. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.

Fuhrer, G. J., R. J. Gilliom, P. A. Hamilton, J. L. Morace, L. H. Nowell, J. F. Rinella, J. D. Stoner, and D. A. Wentz. 1999. The Quality of Our Nation's Waters -- Nutrients and Pesticides. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1225, Reston, Virginia, 82 p. *http://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/circ1225*

Galloway, D., D. R. Jones, and S. E. Ingebritsen. 2001. Land subsidence in the United States. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1182, Denver, Colorado, 175 p. *http://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/circ1182*

Hardin, G. 1968. The Tragedy of the Commons. Science, Vol. 162, 1143-1148.

Jones, J. A. A. 1997. Global hydrology: Processes, resources, and environmental management. Longman, England.

Love, A. J., P. G. Cook, G. A. Harrington, and C. T. Simmons. 2001. Groundwater flow in the Clare Valley. Department of Water Resources, Government of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia.

L'vovich, M. I. 1979. World water resources and their future. Translation of the original Russian edition (1974), American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C.

Meinzer, O. E. 1927. Plants as indicators of ground water. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper No. 577, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

National Research Council. 1996. Rock fractures and fluid flow: Contemporary understanding and applications. U.S. National Committee for Rock Mechanics, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.

National Research Council. 2000. Investigating groundwater systems on regional and national scales. Committee on USGS Water Resources Research, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.



2005. Chapter 19: Transmission Losses. National y, Washington, D.C.

hable management of water in the Ojos Negros basin,

Baja California, Mexico. San Diego State University, San Diego, California. http://ponce.sdsu.edu/ojos_negros.html

Ponce, V. M., A. K. Lohani, and P. T. Huston. 1997. Surface albedo and water resources: Hydroclimatological impact of human activities. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, ASCE, Vol. 2, No. 4, October, 197-203. *http://ponce.sdsu.edu/albedo197.html*

Ponce, V. M., R. P. Pandey, and S. Ercan. 2000. Characterization of drought across climatic spectrum. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, ASCE, Vol. 5, No. 2, April, 222-224. *http://ponce.sdsu.edu/droughtasce222.html*

Sophocleous, M. 2000. From safe yield to sustainable development of water resources - The Kansas experience. *Journal of Hydrology*, Volume 235, Issues 1-2, August, 27-43.

The World Commission on Environment and Development (The Brundtland Commission). 1987. Our Common Future. The United Nations, New York.

¹ Professor, Department of Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering, San Diego State University, San Diego, California 92182-1324 USA; 619-594-4029; ponce@ponce.sdsu.edu; http://ponce.sdsu.edu

070420 16:00